arXiv:1304.4096v1 [nlin.SI] 15 Apr 2013

The AB equations and the $\bar{\partial}$-dressing method in semi-characteristic coordinates

Junyi Zhu*and Xianguo Geng

Department of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450052, China

Abstract

The dressing method based on the 2×2 matrix $\bar{\partial}$-problem is generalized to study the canonical form of AB equations. The soliton solutions for the AB equations are given by virtue of the properties of Cauchy matrix. Asymptotic behaviors of the N-soliton solution are discussed.

PACS number: 02.30.IK, 02.30.Jr

1 Introduction

The AB equations have important applications in geophysical fluids and in nonlinear optics [1–5]. The important features are that the AB equations are integrable by the inverse scattering transform and can be reduced to the sine-Gordon equation[6, 7]. The single-phase periodic solution is studied by the method for improving the effectiveness of one-phase periodic solutions of integrable equations in [8], the envelope solitary wave and sine Waves are discussed in [9]. In addition, Guo *et al.* [10] investigated the Painlevé property and conservation laws of one type of variable-coefficient AB equation, and obtained the soliton solutions by Darboux transformation.

---

*Email: jyzhu@zzu.edu.cn

The $\bar{\partial}$-dressing method [11–15] is a powerful tools to construct and solve integrable nonlinear equations as well as to describe their transformations and reductions. For a review see [16, 17], and references therein.

To our knowledge, The N-soliton solution of the AB equation has not been given and $\bar{\partial}$-dressing method for the AB equation is open. In this paper, we study the AB equations in semi-characteristic coordinates by extended $\bar{\partial}$-dressing method [18] and give their N-soliton solution.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, the semi-characteristic coordinates ξ and τ are introduced in the spectral transform matrix to derive the Lax pair of these equations, where the τ-dependent linear spectral problem is obtained by introducing a special singular dispersion relation. In Sec. 3, suitable symmetry conditions are applied to derive the AB equations in canonical form. In Sec. 4, the properties of Cauchy matrix are used to discuss one-soliton, two-soliton, as well as N-soliton solutions of the equations. In the last section, we study the asymptotic behaviors of the N-soliton solution.

2 Spectral transform and Lax pair

In this paper, we consider the 2×2 matrix $\bar{\partial}$-problem in the complex k-plane,

$$\bar{\partial}\psi(k,\bar{k}) = \psi(k,\bar{k})R(k,\bar{k}), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\bar{\partial} \equiv \partial/\partial\bar{k}$ and $R = R(k,\bar{k})$ is a spectral transform matrix which will be associated with a nonlinear equation. It is readily verified that a solution of the $\bar{\partial}$-problem (2.1) with the canonical normalization can be written as

$$\psi(k) = I + \psi R C_k, \tag{2.2}$$

where C_k denotes the Cauchy-Green integral operator acting on the left

$$\psi R C_k = \frac{1}{2i\pi}\iint \frac{\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}}{z-k}\psi(z)R(z),$$

and here we have suppressed the variable $\bar{k}$ dependence in ψ and R. It is readily verified that, for some matrix functions $f(k)$ and $g(k)$, the operator C_k satisfies

$$g(k)[f(k)C_k]C_k + [g(k)C_k]f(k)C_k = [g(k)C_k][f(k)C_k], \tag{2.3}$$

The formal solution of $\bar{\partial}$-problem (2.1) in terms of the matrix R will be given from (2.2) as

$$\psi(k) = I \cdot (I - RC_k)^{-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

For the sake of convenience, we define a pairing

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \frac{1}{2i\pi}\iint f(k)g^{\mathrm{T}}(k)\mathrm{d}k \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{k}, \quad \langle f, g\rangle^{\mathrm{T}} = \langle g, f\rangle,$$

It is known that the above pairing possesses the following prosperities [13]

$$\langle fR, g\rangle = \langle f, gR^{\mathrm{T}}\rangle, \quad \langle fC_k, g\rangle = -\langle f, gC_k\rangle. \tag{2.5}$$

In addition, we can easily prove the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} &kf(k)C_k = k[f(k)C_k] + \langle f(k)\rangle, \\ &\frac{1}{\mu - k}f(k)C_k = \frac{1}{\mu - k}\{[f(k)C_k] - [f(\mu)C_\mu]\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\langle f(k)\rangle = \langle f(k), I\rangle$.

The aim of the $\bar{\partial}$ dressing method is to construct the compatible system of linear equations for ψ and consequently the nonlinear evolution equations associated the $\bar{\partial}$-problem (2.1). According to the main idea of the inverse scattering transform method, It is important to introduce the ξ, τ dependence in the spectral transform matrix $R(k, \bar{k})$. For the AB equations, let the ξ-dependence be given by a linear and solvable equation

$$R_\xi = ik[\sigma_3, R]. \tag{2.7}$$

Then from equations (2.2),(2.4) and (2.7), we have

$$\psi_\xi(k) = ik\psi\sigma_3 RC_k(I - RC_k)^{-1} - ik\psi RC_k\sigma_3(I - RC_k)^{-1}. \tag{2.8}$$

According to the property (2.6) of the integral operator C_k, we get the identity

$$ik\psi RC_k = i\langle\psi R, I\rangle + ik(\psi RC_k) = i\langle\psi R\rangle + ik\psi - ik. \tag{2.9}$$

Since $RC_k = I - I(I - RC_k)$, then

$$RC_k(I - RC_k)^{-1} = (I - RC_k)^{-1} - I. \tag{2.10}$$

Substitution of the above two expressions into the right hand side(RHS) of equation (2.8) yields the following equation

$$\psi_\xi = -ik\psi\sigma_3 - i\langle\psi R\rangle\sigma_3\psi + i\sigma_3 k(I - RC_k)^{-1}. \tag{2.11}$$

It is noted that in the last term in the RHS of equation (2.11), $k(I - RC_k)^{-1}$ means the left action of the operator $(I - RC_k)^{-1}$ on k, so the representation (2.4) can not be used here. To simplify the expression (2.11), we obtain from (2.9) the following relation $k = \langle\psi R\rangle + k(I - RC_k)$, and note that the pairing $\langle\psi R\rangle$ is independent of k, then an alternative representation of $k(I - RC_k)^{-1}$ can be given as

$$k(I - RC_k)^{-1} = (\langle\psi R\rangle + k)\psi. \tag{2.12}$$

Hence equation (2.11) can be reduced to the Zakharov-Shabat spectral problem

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\xi - ik[\sigma_3, \psi] &= Q\psi, \\ Q &= i[\sigma_3, \langle\psi R\rangle]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

In order to derive the τ-dependent Lax equation, we choose the linear equation about spectral transform matrix R as

$$R_\tau = [\Omega, R], \tag{2.14}$$

where $\Omega(k)$ is a singular dispersion relation, that is

$$\Omega(k) = \omega(k)C_k\sigma_3, \tag{2.15}$$

where $\omega(k)$ is some scalar function. Once again from (2.2),(2.4) and (2.14), the evolution of ψ adopts the form

$$\psi_\tau = (\psi\Omega - \psi R\Omega C_k)(I - RC_k)^{-1} - \psi\Omega \tag{2.16}$$

in view of the identity (2.10). Resorting to (2.2) and (2.3), $\psi R\Omega C_k$ in (2.16) admits the following relation

$$\psi R\Omega C_k = (\psi RC_k)(\omega C_k\sigma_3) - (\psi RC_k)\omega C_k\sigma_3 = \psi\Omega - \psi\omega C_k\sigma_3.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\tau &= \psi\omega C_k\sigma_3(I - RC_k)^{-1} - \psi\Omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2i\pi}\iint \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{\mu}}{\mu - k}\psi(\mu)\omega(\mu)\sigma_3(I - RC_k)^{-1} - \psi\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where the term $(\mu-k)^{-1}(I-RC_k)^{-1}$ need to be further simplified. Noting, from (2.6), that

$$\frac{1}{\mu-k}\psi(k)RC_k=\frac{1}{\mu-k}\left((\psi RC_k)-(\psi RC_\mu)\right)=\frac{1}{\mu-k}\left(\psi(k)-\psi(\mu)\right),$$

furthermore, we have

$$\frac{1}{\mu-k}\psi(\mu)=\frac{1}{\mu-k}\psi(k)(I-RC_k),$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\mu-k}(I-RC_k)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\mu-k}\psi^{-1}(\mu)\psi(k).$$

Then (2.17) gives τ-dependent linear equation associated with the singular dispersion relation

$$\psi_\tau=\left(\omega\psi\sigma_3\psi^{-1}C_k\right)\psi-\psi\Omega. \tag{2.18}$$

3 The AB equations

In this section, we will derive the AB equations equations associated with spectral problem (2.13). To the end, differentiating the expression of Q in (2.13) with respect to τ yields

$$Q_\tau=i[\sigma_3,\langle\psi R\rangle_\tau]. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $\bar\partial(f(k)C_k)=f(k)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi R)_\tau=\bar\partial\psi_\tau&=\bar\partial\left\{\psi R_\tau C_k(I-RC_k)^{-1}\right\}\\&=\bar\partial\left\{\psi R_\tau(I-RC_k)^{-1}C_k\right\}=\psi R_\tau(I-RC_k)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, in virtue of the properties (2.4), equation (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$Q_\tau=i[\sigma_3,\langle\psi R_\tau(I-RC_k)^{-1},I\rangle]=i[\sigma_3,\langle\psi R_\tau,I\cdot(I+R^{\mathrm{T}}C_k)^{-1}\rangle]. \tag{3.2}$$

Based on the identity $\bar\partial(\psi^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}=-(\psi^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}R^{\mathrm{T}}$, the same procedure as (2.2) and (2.4) products

$$I\cdot(I+R^{\mathrm{T}}C_k)^{-1}=(\psi^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Therefore, using (2.4),(2.11) and the definition of pairing $\langle f, g\rangle$, equation (3.2) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\tau &= i[\sigma_3, \langle \psi\Omega, (\psi^{-1}R^{\mathrm{T}})\rangle] - i[\sigma_3, \langle \psi R\Omega, (\psi^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}\rangle] \\ &= -i[\sigma_3, \langle \psi\Omega, \bar{\partial}(\psi^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}\rangle] - i[\sigma_3, \langle (\bar{\partial}\psi)\Omega, (\psi^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}\rangle] \\ &= -i[\sigma_3, \langle \psi\Omega\bar{\partial}\psi^{-1}\rangle] - i[\sigma_3, \langle (\bar{\partial}\psi)\Omega\psi^{-1}\rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the fact that $\Omega \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, the above equation can be further reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\tau &= -i[\sigma_3, \langle \bar{\partial}(\psi\Omega\psi^{-1})\rangle - \langle \psi(\bar{\partial}\Omega)\psi^{-1}\rangle] \\ &= i[\sigma_3, \langle \omega(k)\psi\sigma_3\psi^{-1}\rangle]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By virtue of the spectral problem (2.13), one can verify that

$$U_\xi = ik[\sigma_3, U] + [Q, U], \quad U = \psi\sigma_3\psi^{-1}, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$Q_\tau = i[\sigma_3, \langle \omega(k)U\rangle]. \tag{3.5}$$

In order to derive the τ-dependent linear spectral problem of the AB equations, we take

$$\omega(k) = -i\pi\delta(k), \tag{3.6}$$

then

$$V \equiv i\langle \omega U\rangle = -U|_{k=0}, \tag{3.7}$$

which implies

$$Q_\tau = [\sigma_3, V], \quad V_\xi = [Q, V]. \tag{3.8}$$

It is noted that the coupled equations (3.8) can also be derived from the compatibility condition of the linear equations (2.13) and (2.18).

From (2.12) and (3.6), we know that the linear spectral problem (2.18) can be rewritten as

$$\psi_\tau + \frac{1}{ik}\psi\sigma_3 = -\frac{1}{ik}V\psi. \tag{3.9}$$

For the purpose of obtaining the AB equations, we introduce the following symmetry condition

$$Q^\dagger = -Q, \tag{3.10}$$

from which we take

$$Q = 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{A} \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.11}$$

Here, the form of the potential function is chosen to ensure that the normalization condition (**??**) can be obtained. In addition, we need another symmetry condition about $\psi(k)$

$$\psi^{\dagger}(\bar{k}) = \psi^{-1}(k). \tag{3.12}$$

It is noted that this constraint condition can be obtained by using the symmetry condition (3.10) and the spectral problem (2.13), as well as the linear equation (2.7).

From (3.8), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(o)} &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_3 Q_\tau, \\ Q_{\xi\tau} &= [\sigma_3, [Q, V]] = 2\sigma_3[Q, V^{(d)}], \\ V^{(d)}_\xi &= [Q, V^{(o)}] = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3(Q^2)_\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $V^{(o)}$ and $V^{(d)}$ denote the off-diagonal and diagonal of the matrix V, respectively. Hence $V = V^{(o)} + V^{(d)}$. According to the above equations, we take

$$V = -\begin{pmatrix} B & \bar{A}_\tau \\ A_\tau & -B \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.13}$$

then we have the AB equations in canonical form

$$A_{\xi\tau} - 4AB = 0, \quad B_\xi + 2(|A|^2)_\tau = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

It is remarked that the Lax pair of the AB equations is defined by (2.13) and (3.9), as well as (3.13).

4 Soliton solutions

In the section, we will derive the explicit solutions of the AB equations (3.14) and their soliton solutions. To this end, we introduce the spectral transform matrix R as

$$R(k) = i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{c}_j e^{2ik\xi}\delta(k - \bar{k}_j) \\ c_j e^{-2ik\xi}\delta(k - k_j) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\{k_j\}_1^N$ are complex constants and $c_j = c_j(\tau)$. The evolution of these τ-dependent functions can be obtained from (2.14) and (3.6)

$$c_{j,\tau} = -\frac{2}{ik_j}c_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, N, \tag{4.2}$$

Substituting (4.1) into (2.13), in view of (3.12), yields

$$A = -i\langle \psi R\rangle_{21} = -\hat{\psi}_{22} \cdot g^T, \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\psi}_{22} = (\psi_{22}(k_1), \cdots, \psi_{22}(k_N)), \quad g = (g_1, \cdots, g_N), \\ &g_j = c_j e^{2k_j\xi} = e^{2z_j}, \quad z_j = \theta_j - i\varphi_j, \\ &\quad \theta_j = \mathrm{Im}k_j\xi + \frac{\mathrm{Im}k_j}{|k_j|^2}\tau + \kappa_j, \\ &\quad \varphi_j = \mathrm{Re}k_j\xi - \frac{\mathrm{Re}k_j}{|k_j|^2}\tau + \chi_j, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $\{\kappa_j, \chi_j\}$ are arbitrary constants. In addition, from (3.7) and (3.4),(3.13), by virtue of symmetry condition (3.12), we obtain

$$B = |\psi_{22}(0)|^2 - |\psi_{21}(0)|^2, \tag{4.5}$$

in terms of $\det \psi = 1$.

In the following, we will give the expression of ψ_{ij} about the discrete data. substitution (4.1) into (2.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{22}(k) &= 1 - i\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\psi_{21}(\bar{k}_j)\bar{g}_j}{\bar{k}_j - k}, \\ \psi_{21}(k) &= -i\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\psi_{22}(k_j)g_j}{k_j - k}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

which imply that

$$\hat{\psi}_{22} = E(I + K\bar{K})^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{21} = -iEK(I + \bar{K}K)^{-1}, \tag{4.7}$$

where the vectors $\hat{\psi}_{22}, g$ are defined by (4.4) and

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\psi}_{21} = (\psi_{21}(\bar{k}_1), \cdots, \psi_{21}(\bar{k}_N)), \quad E = (1, \cdots, 1), \\ &K = (K_{nm})_{N\times N}, \quad K_{nm} = \frac{g_n}{k_n - \bar{k}_m}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

In addition, from (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{21}(0) = -i\hat{\psi}_{22}h^T, \quad \psi_{22}(0) = 1 - i\tilde{\psi}_{21}\bar{h}^T,\\ h = (h_1, \cdots, h_N), \quad h_j = \frac{g_j}{k_j}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Substituting (4.7) into (4.3) and (4.9) products [19]

$$\begin{aligned}A =& - \mathrm{tr}[(I+M)^{-1}g^T E]\\ =& - \frac{\det(I+M+g^T E) - \det(I+M)}{\det(I+M)},\\ \psi_{21}(0) =& - i\mathrm{tr}[(I+M)^{-1}h^T E]\\ =& - i\frac{\det(I+M+h^T E) - \det(I+M)}{\det(I+M)},\\ \psi_{22}(0) =& 1 - \mathrm{tr}[(I+\tilde{M})\bar{h}^T EK]\\ =& 1 - \frac{\det(I+\tilde{M}+\bar{h}^T EK) - \det(I+\tilde{M})}{\det(I+\tilde{M})},\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$M = K\bar{K}, \quad \tilde{M} = \bar{K}K. \tag{4.11}$$

In the following, we will give the one-soliton and two-soliton solutions. Firstly, for $N = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}A =& - e^{-2i\varphi}\frac{2\mathrm{Im}k_1}{(2\mathrm{Im}k_1)e^{-2\theta_1} + e^{2\theta_1}(2\mathrm{Im}k)^{-1}},\\ \psi_{21}(0) =& \frac{e^{-2i\varphi}}{ik_1}\frac{2\mathrm{Im}k_1}{(2\mathrm{Im}k_1)e^{-2\theta_1} + e^{2\theta_1}(2\mathrm{Im}k)^{-1}},\\ \psi_{22}(0) =& 1 - \frac{e^{2\theta_1}}{i\bar{k}_1}\frac{1}{(2\mathrm{Im}k_1)e^{-2\theta_1} + e^{2\theta_1}(2\mathrm{Im}k)^{-1}},.\end{aligned}$$

Let $2\mathrm{Im}k_1 = e^{2\beta_1}$, then the above expressions give rise to the bright one-soliton solution in semi-characteristic coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}A =& -\frac{1}{2}e^{2(\beta_1 - i\varphi_1)}\mathrm{sech}2(\theta_1 - \beta_1),\\ B =& 1 + \frac{e^{2(\theta_1+\beta_1)}}{2|k_1|^2}[\mathrm{sech}^2 2(\theta_1 - \beta_1)\sinh 2(\theta_1 - \beta_1) - \mathrm{sech}2(\theta_1 - \beta_1)].\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

For the case of $N=2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\det(I+M) =& 1+\frac{|k_1-k_2|^4}{\prod\limits_{j,l=1}^{2}(k_j-\bar{k}_l)^2}e^{2(z_1+z_2+\bar{z}_1+\bar{z}_2)}-\frac{e^{2(z_1+\bar{z}_1)}}{(k_1-\bar{k}_1)^2}\\
&-\frac{e^{2(z_2+\bar{z}_2)}}{(k_2-\bar{k}_2)^2}-\frac{e^{2(z_1+\bar{z}_2)}}{(k_1-\bar{k}_2)^2}-\frac{e^{2(\bar{z}_1+z_2)}}{(k_2-\bar{k}_1)^2},\\
\det(I+M+&g^TE)-\det(I+M)\\
=& e^{2z_1}\left[1-\frac{(k_1-k_2)^2}{(k_1-\bar{k}_2)^2(k_2-\bar{k}_2)^2}e^{2(z_2+\bar{z}_2)}\right]\\
&+e^{2z_2}\left[1-\frac{(k_1-k_2)^2}{(k_1-\bar{k}_1)^2(k_2-\bar{k}_1)^2}e^{2(z_1+\bar{z}_1)}\right],\\
\det(I+M+&h^TE)-\det(I+M)\\
=& \frac{e^{2z_1}}{k_1}\left[1-\frac{\bar{k}_2}{k_2}\frac{(k_1-k_2)^2}{(k_1-\bar{k}_2)^2(k_2-\bar{k}_2)^2}e^{2(z_2+\bar{z}_2)}\right]\\
&+\frac{e^{2z_2}}{k_2}\left[1-\frac{\bar{k}_1}{k_1}\frac{(k_1-k_2)^2}{(k_1-\bar{k}_1)^2(k_2-\bar{k}_1)^2}e^{2(z_1+\bar{z}_1)}\right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.13}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\det(I+&\tilde{M})-\det(I+\tilde{M}+\bar{h}^TEK)\\
=& 1-\frac{k_1}{\bar{k}_1}\frac{e^{2(z_1+\bar{z}_1)}}{(k_1-\bar{k}_1)^2}-\frac{k_2}{\bar{k}_2}\frac{e^{2(z_2+\bar{z}_2)}}{(k_2-\bar{k}_2)^2}-\frac{k_2}{\bar{k}_1}\frac{e^{2(\bar{z}_1+z_2)}}{(k_2-\bar{k}_1)^2}\\
&-\frac{k_1}{\bar{k}_2}\frac{e^{2(z_1+\bar{z}_2)}}{(k_1-\bar{k}_2)^2}+\frac{k_1k_2}{\bar{k}_1\bar{k}_2}\frac{|k_1-k_2|^4}{\prod\limits_{j,l=1}^{2}(k_j-\bar{k}_l)^2}e^{2(z_1+z_2+\bar{z}_1+\bar{z}_2)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain the soliton solutions, we introduce new functions ω_j

$$
e^{2\omega_j}=\frac{k_1-k_2}{(k_1-\bar{k}_j)(k_2-\bar{k}_j)},\quad \omega_j=w_j+i\phi_j,\quad j=1,2. \tag{4.14}
$$

Under this definition, equations (4.13) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\det(I+M) =& 4\frac{e^{2(\vartheta_1+\vartheta_2)}}{|k_1-k_2|^2}\{a\cosh 2\vartheta_1\cosh 2\vartheta_2+b\sinh 2\vartheta_1\sinh 2\vartheta_2\\
&+2\mathrm{Im}k_1\mathrm{Im}k_2\cos\rho\}\\
\equiv& \Delta D_2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.15}
$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(I+M+g^TE)-\det(I+M)\\ &\qquad = -4\frac{e^{2(\vartheta_1+\vartheta_2)}}{|k_1-k_2|^2}\sqrt{a^2-b^2}\,\big[\mathrm{Im}k_1 e^{2i(\phi_2-\varphi_1)}\sinh 2(\vartheta_2+i\phi_2)\\ &\qquad\qquad + \mathrm{Im}k_2 e^{2i(\phi_1-\varphi_2)}\sinh 2(\vartheta_1+i\phi_1)\big]\\ &\qquad \equiv \Delta\Omega_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(I+M+h^TE)-\det(I+M)\\ &\qquad = -4\frac{e^{2(\vartheta_1+\vartheta_2)}}{|k_1-k_2|^2}\sqrt{a^2-b^2}\left[\frac{\mathrm{Im}k_1}{k_1} e^{2i(\tilde{\phi}_2-\varphi_1)}\sinh 2(\vartheta_2+i\tilde{\phi}_2)\right.\\ &\qquad\qquad \left. + \frac{\mathrm{Im}k_2}{k_2} e^{2i(\tilde{\phi}_1-\varphi_2)}\sinh 2(\vartheta_1+i\tilde{\phi}_1)\right]\\ &\qquad \equiv \Delta\Xi_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &2\det(I+\tilde{M})-\det(I+\tilde{M}+\bar{h}^TgK)\\ &= 4\frac{e^{2(\vartheta_1+\vartheta_2)}}{|k_1-k_2|^2}\big\{e^{i(\arg k_1+\arg k_2)}[a\cosh(2\vartheta_1+i\arg k_1)\cosh(2\vartheta_2+i\arg k_2)\\ &\qquad b\sinh(2\vartheta_1+i\arg k_1)\sinh(2\vartheta_2+i\arg k_2)]+2\mathrm{Im}k_1\mathrm{Im}k_2\cosh(\varepsilon+i\varrho)\big\}\\ &\quad\equiv \Delta\Lambda_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\vartheta_j=\theta_j+w_j,\ \tilde{\phi}_j=\phi_j+\varpi_j,\ \varpi_j=\arg k_j, j=1,2\\ &|k_1-k_2|^2=a+b,\ |k_1-\bar{k}_2|^2=a-b,\\ &\rho=2(\varphi_1+\phi_1-\varphi_2-\phi_2),\\ &\varrho=2(\varphi_1-\phi_1-\varphi_2+\phi_2)-\varpi_1+\varpi_2,\\ &e^{\varepsilon}=\frac{|k_1|}{|k_2|},\quad \Delta=4\frac{e^{2(\vartheta_1+\vartheta_2)}}{|k_1-k_2|^2}\cosh 2\vartheta_1\cosh 2\vartheta_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_2 =& a + b\tanh 2\vartheta_1 \tanh 2\vartheta_2 + 2\mathrm{Im}k_1\mathrm{Im}k_2 \cos\rho \mathrm{sech}2\vartheta_1\mathrm{sech}2\vartheta_2,\\
\Omega_2 =& -\sqrt{a^2-b^2}[\mathrm{Im}k_1 e^{2i(\phi_2-\varphi_1)}\mathrm{sech}2\vartheta_1(\tanh 2\vartheta_2\cos 2\phi_2 + i\sin 2\phi_2)\\
&+ \mathrm{Im}k_2 e^{2i(\phi_1-\varphi_2)}\mathrm{sech}2\vartheta_2(\tanh 2\vartheta_1\cos 2\phi_1 + i\sin 2\phi_1)],\\
\Xi_2 =& -\sqrt{a^2-b^2}[\frac{\mathrm{Im}k_1}{k_1} e^{2i(\tilde{\phi}_2-\varphi_1)}\mathrm{sech}2\vartheta_1(\tanh 2\vartheta_2\cos 2\tilde{\phi}_2 + i\sin 2\tilde{\phi}_2)\\
&+ \frac{\mathrm{Im}k_2}{k_2} e^{2i(\tilde{\phi}_1-\varphi_2)}\mathrm{sech}2\vartheta_2(\tanh 2\vartheta_1\cos 2\tilde{\phi}_1 + i\sin 2\tilde{\phi}_1)],\\
\Lambda_2 =& e^{i(\varpi_1+\varpi_2)}[a(\cos\varpi_1\cos\varpi_2 - \tanh 2\vartheta_1\tanh 2\vartheta_2\sin\varpi_1\sin\varpi_2)\\
&+ b(\tanh 2\vartheta_1\tanh 2\vartheta_2\cos\varpi_1\cos\varpi_2 - \sin\varpi_1\sin\varpi_2)\\
&+ ia(\tanh 2\vartheta_2\cos\varpi_1\sin\varpi_2 + \tanh 2\vartheta_1\sin\varpi_1\cos\varpi_2)\\
&+ ib(\tanh 2\vartheta_1\cos\varpi_1\sin\varpi_2 + \tanh 2\vartheta_2\sin\varpi_1\cos\varpi_2)]\\
&\quad + 2\mathrm{Im}k_1\mathrm{Im}k_2\cosh(\varepsilon + i\varrho)\mathrm{sech}2\vartheta_1\mathrm{sech}2\vartheta_2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.19}
$$

Hence, the two-soliton solution in semi-characteristic coordinates of the AB equations (3.14) takes the form

$$
A = \frac{\Omega_2}{D_2}, \quad B = \frac{|\Lambda_2|^2 - |\Xi_2|^2}{D_2^2}. \tag{4.20}
$$

Taking notice of the form of (4.19), we can say that (4.20) give the bright two-soliton solution of the AB equations. It is remark that the representations (4.15)-(4.18) can be rewritten as another forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\det(I+M) = \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{D}_2, \quad \det(I+M+g^TE) - \det(I+M) = \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\Omega}_2\\
&\det(I+M+h^TE) - \det(I+M) = \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\Xi}_2,\\
&2\det(I+\tilde{M}) - \det(I+\tilde{M}+\bar{h}^TgK) = \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\Lambda}_2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.21}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\Delta} = 4\frac{e^{2(\vartheta_1+\vartheta_2)}}{|k_1-k_2|^2}\sinh 2\vartheta_1\sinh 2\vartheta_2,
$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{D}_2 =& b + a\coth 2\vartheta_1 \coth 2\vartheta_2 + 2\mathrm{Im}k_1\mathrm{Im}k_2 \cos\rho \mathrm{csch} 2\vartheta_1 \mathrm{csch} 2\vartheta_2, ,\\
\tilde{\Omega}_2 =& -\sqrt{a^2-b^2}[\mathrm{Im}k_1 e^{2i(\phi_2-\varphi_1)}\mathrm{csch}2\vartheta_1(\cos 2\phi_2 + i\coth 2\vartheta_2 \sin 2\phi_2)\\
&\quad + \mathrm{Im}k_2 e^{2i(\phi_1-\varphi_2)}\mathrm{csch}2\vartheta_2(\cos 2\phi_1 + i\coth 2\vartheta_1 \sin 2\phi_1)],\\
\tilde{\tilde{\Xi}}_2 =& -\sqrt{a^2-b^2}[\frac{\mathrm{Im}k_1}{k_1} e^{2i(\tilde{\phi}_2-\varphi_1)}\mathrm{csch}2\vartheta_1(\cos 2\tilde{\phi}_2 + i\coth 2\vartheta_2 \sin 2\tilde{\phi}_2)\\
&\quad + \frac{\mathrm{Im}k_2}{k_2} e^{2i(\tilde{\phi}_1-\varphi_2)}\mathrm{csch}2\vartheta_2(\cos 2\tilde{\phi}_1 + i\coth 2\vartheta_1 \sin 2\tilde{\phi}_1)], \qquad (4.22)\\
\tilde{\Lambda}_2 =& e^{i(\varpi_1+\varpi_2)}[a(\coth 2\vartheta_1 \coth 2\vartheta_2 \cos\varpi_1 \cos\varpi_2 - \sin\varpi_1 \sin\varpi_2)\\
&\quad + b(\cos\varpi_1 \cos\varpi_2 - \coth 2\vartheta_1 \coth 2\vartheta_2 \sin\varpi_1 \sin\varpi_2)\\
&\quad + ia(\coth 2\vartheta_1 \cos\varpi_1 \sin\varpi_2 + \coth 2\vartheta_2 \sin\varpi_1 \cos\varpi_2)\\
&\quad + ib(\coth 2\vartheta_2 \cos\varpi_1 \sin\varpi_2 + \coth 2\vartheta_1 \sin\varpi_1 \cos\varpi_2)]\\
&\qquad + 2\mathrm{Im}k_1\mathrm{Im}k_2 \cosh(\varepsilon + i\varrho)\mathrm{csch}2\vartheta_1\mathrm{csch}2\vartheta_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the dark two-soliton solution of the AB equations (3.14) has the form

$$A = \frac{\tilde{\Omega}_2}{\tilde{D}_2}, \quad B = \frac{|\tilde{\Lambda}_2|^2 - |\tilde{\tilde{\Xi}}_2|^2}{\tilde{D}_2^{\;2}}. \qquad (4.23)$$

It is remarked that the functions ϑ_j can be rewritten as

$$\vartheta_j = \mathrm{Im}k_j(\xi + \frac{\tau}{|k_j|^2} + \xi_j), \qquad (4.24)$$

where ξ_j is a certain constant.

Now, we will derive the soliton solutions of (3.14). By virtue of the method of linear algebra, we know that

$$\det(I+M) = 1 + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} \sum_{1\le j_1\le\cdots\le j_\sigma\le N} M(j_1,\cdots,j_\sigma), \qquad (4.25)$$

where $M(j_1,\cdots,j_\sigma)$ denotes the principal minor of $N\times N$ matrix M obtained by taking all the elements of $(j_1,\cdots,j_\sigma)$-th columns and rows. By using the Cauchy-Binet formula, we can calculate the value of $M(j_1,\cdots,j_\sigma)$

$$M(j_1,\cdots,j_\sigma) = \sum_{1\le r_1\le\cdots\le r_\sigma\le N} K\begin{pmatrix} j_1,j_2, & \cdots, j_\sigma\\ r_1,r_2, & \cdots, r_\sigma\end{pmatrix} \bar{K}\begin{pmatrix} r_1,r_2, & \cdots, r_\sigma\\ j_1,j_2, & \cdots, j_\sigma\end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.26)$$

where $K\begin{pmatrix} j_1,j_2, & \cdots ,j_\sigma \\ r_1,r_2, & \cdots ,r_\sigma \end{pmatrix}$ denotes the determinant of the submatrix obtained by preserving the $(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_\sigma)$-th rows and $(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_\sigma)$-th columns of K; $\bar{K}\begin{pmatrix} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix}$ denotes similarly the determinant of the submatrix for $\bar{K}$. It is noted that K is a real symmetric matrix and K is Cauchy type matrices, then

$$M(j_1, \cdots, j_\sigma) = \sum_{1\le r_1 \le \cdots \le r_\sigma \le N} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{l<l', m<m'} \frac{|k_l - k_{l'}|^2 |\bar{k}_{m'} - \bar{k}_m|^2}{(k_l - \bar{k}_m)^2} e^{2(z_l + \bar{z}_m)}, \tag{4.27}$$

where $m \in \{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_\sigma\}; l, l' \in \{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_\sigma\}$ and $\sigma = 1, \cdots, N$. Hence, we obtain the explicit representation of $\det(I + M)$ from (4.25) and (4.27). It is readily verified that $\det(I + \tilde{M}) = \det(I + M)$.

In the following, we will evaluate the numerator of the expressions in (4.10). To this end, let

$$C = M + g^T E = GH, \tag{4.28}$$

where $G = (g^T, K) = (G_{nm})$ and $H = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ \bar{K} \end{pmatrix} = (H_{mn})$, with $n \in \{1, \cdots, N\}, m \in \{0, 1, \cdots, N\}$. Hence, $\det(I + C)$ takes the same expansion as (4.25), where

$$C(j_1, \cdots, j_\sigma) = \sum_{0\le r_1 \le \cdots \le r_\sigma \le N} G\begin{pmatrix} j_1,j_2, & \cdots ,j_\sigma \\ r_1,r_2, & \cdots ,r_\sigma \end{pmatrix} H\begin{pmatrix} r_1,r_2, & \cdots ,r_\sigma \\ j_1,j_2, & \cdots ,j_\sigma \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.29}$$

Now, we split the summation on the right hand side of the above equation into two parts, the first one is $r_1 = 0$, and the second one is $r_1 \ge 1$. It is noted that the second one is exactly equal to $M(j_1, \cdots, j_\sigma)$. Thus, the numerator of the expression of r in (4.10) takes the value

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(I + M + g^T E) - \det(I + M) \\ =& \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} \sum_{(1\le j_1 \le \cdots \le j_\sigma \le N)} \sum_{(1\le r_2 \le \cdots \le r_\sigma \le N)} G\begin{pmatrix} j_1,j_2, & \cdots ,j_\sigma \\ 0,r_2, & \cdots ,r_\sigma \end{pmatrix} H\begin{pmatrix} 0,r_2, & \cdots ,r_\sigma \\ j_1,j_2, & \cdots ,j_\sigma \end{pmatrix} \\ =& \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} \sum_{(1\le j_1 \le \cdots \le j_\sigma \le N)} \sum_{(1\le r_2 \le \cdots \le r_\sigma \le N)} (-1)^{\sigma-1} \prod_{l<l', m<m'} \frac{|k_l - k_{l'}|^2 |\bar{k}_{m'} - \bar{k}_m|^2}{(k_l - \bar{k}_m)^2} e^{2(z_l + \bar{z}_m)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m, m' \in \{r_2, \cdots, r_\sigma\}; l, l' \in \{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_\sigma\}$.

Similarly, for $\psi_{21}(0)$ in (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det(I+M+h^T E)-\det(I+M)\\
&\quad=\sum_{\sigma=1}^{N}\sum_{(1\leq j_1\leq\cdots\leq j_\sigma\leq N)}\sum_{(1\leq r_2\leq\cdots\leq r_\sigma\leq N)}\\
&\quad\times(-1)^{\sigma-1}\prod_{l<l',m<m'}\frac{\bar{k}_m}{k_l}\frac{|k_l-k_{l'}|^2|\bar{k}_{m'}-\bar{k}_m|^2}{(k_l-\bar{k}_m)^2}e^{2(z_l+\bar{z}_m)},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

where $(m,m'\in\{r_2,\cdots,r_\sigma\};l,l'\in\{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_\sigma\})$. While for $\psi_{22}(0)$, let

$$\tilde{M}+\bar{h}^T EK=(\bar{h}^T,\bar{K})\begin{pmatrix}EK\\K\end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det(I+\tilde{M}+\bar{h}^T gK)-\det(I+\tilde{M})\\
&=\sum_{\sigma=1}^{N}\sum_{(1\leq j_1\leq\cdots\leq j_\sigma\leq N)}\sum_{(1\leq r_2\leq\cdots\leq r_\sigma\leq N)}(-1)^{\sigma-1}\sum_{r_0\in\hat{\sigma}}\prod_{l,m}\frac{k_{r_0}-k_m}{k_{r_0}-\bar{k}_l}e^{2z_{r_0}}\\
&\quad\times\prod_{l,m}\frac{k_m}{\bar{k}_l}\frac{e^{2(\bar{z}_m+z_l)}}{(k_m-\bar{k}_l)^2}\prod_{l<l',m<m'}(\bar{k}_l-\bar{k}_{l'})^2(k_m-k_{m'})^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

where $m,m'\in\{r_2,\cdots,r_\sigma\};l,l'\in\{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_\sigma\}$ and $\hat{\sigma}=\{1,2,\cdots,N\}\backslash\{r_2,\cdots,r_\sigma\}$ denotes a subset of the set $\{1,2,\cdots,N\}$. It is remarked that the N-soliton solution of the AB equations can be obtained from (4.5),(4.10) and (4.25)-(4.30).

5 Asymptotic behaviors of the N-soliton solution

In this section, we discuss the asymptotic behaviors of the given N-solion solution. To this end, we assume that

$$|k_1|<|k_2|<\cdots<|k_N|,\quad \mathrm{Im}k_j>0.$$

It is noted that

$$g_j=e^{2iz_j}=e^{2\theta_j}e^{-2i\varphi},\quad \theta_j=\mathrm{Im}k_j(\xi-v_j\tau-\xi_j),\tag{5.1}$$

where $v_j = -|k_j|^{-2}$ and ξ_j is a certain real constant. Now the region of the point $\xi = \xi_j + v_j\tau$ is denoted by Σ_j. Then, as $\tau \to -\infty$, these regions are disjoint and distribute from left to right as

$$\Sigma_N,\ \Sigma_{N-1},\ \cdots,\ \Sigma_1,$$

in view of $v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_N$. In the region Σ_j, one may find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi - \xi_n - v_n\tau \to +\infty, \\ &|g_n| \to +\infty, \quad n > j; \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi - \xi_m - v_m\tau \to -\infty, \\ |g_m| \to 0, \quad m < j. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Thus, in the region Σ_j, as $\tau \to -\infty$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I+M) \approx\ & K\begin{pmatrix} j+1, j+2, \cdots, N \\ j+1, j+2, \cdots, N \end{pmatrix} \bar{K}\begin{pmatrix} j+1, j+2, \cdots, N \\ j+1, j+2, \cdots, N \end{pmatrix} \\ & + K\begin{pmatrix} j, j+1, \cdots, N \\ i, j+1, \cdots, N \end{pmatrix} \bar{K}\begin{pmatrix} j, j+1, \cdots, N \\ j, j+1, \cdots, N \end{pmatrix} \\ =\ & \left(1 + \frac{e^{4\theta_j}}{|k_j - \bar{k}_j|^2}\prod_{l=j+1}^{N}\frac{|k_j - k_l|^4}{|k_j - \bar{k}_l|^4}\right)\prod_{j+1\le l<l'\le N}\frac{e^{4\theta_l}}{|k_l - \bar{k}_l|^2}\frac{|k_l - k_{l'}|^4}{|k_l - \bar{k}_{l'}|^4}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(I+M+g^TE) - \det(I+M) \\ & \quad \approx G\begin{pmatrix} j, j+1, \cdots, N \\ 0, j+1, \cdots, N \end{pmatrix} H\begin{pmatrix} 0, j+1, \cdots, N \\ j, j+1, \cdots, N \end{pmatrix} \\ & \quad = e^{2\theta_j}e^{-2i\varphi_j}\prod_{l=j+1}^{N}\frac{(k_j - k_l)^2}{(k_j - \bar{k}_l)^2}\prod_{j+1\le l<l'\le N}\frac{e^{4\theta_l}}{|k_l - \bar{k}_l|^2}\frac{|k_l - k_{l'}|^4}{|k_l - \bar{k}_{l'}|^4}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Equations (5.4) and (5.5) imply that the solution A in Σ_j has the following asymptotic behavior

$$A \approx -i\mathrm{Im}k_j e^{-2i(\varphi_j + \delta_j^{(-)})}\mathrm{sech}2(\theta_j + \gamma_j^{(-)}), \quad \tau \to -\infty, \tag{5.6}$$

where $\delta_j^{(-)}$ and $\gamma_j^{(-)}$ are defined by the following representation

$$\frac{1}{\bar{k}_j - k_j} \prod_{l=j+1}^{N} \frac{(\bar{k}_j - \bar{k}_l)^2}{(\bar{k}_j - k_l)^2} = e^{2(\gamma_j^{(-)} + i\delta_j^{(-)})}. \tag{5.7}$$

Similarly, as $\tau \to +\infty$, the regions are distributed as $\Sigma_1, \cdots, \Sigma_N$. In this case, the leading term of $\det(I+M)$ in (5.4) will involve $\{1, \cdots, j-1; 1, \cdots, j-1, j\}$, instead of $\{j+1, \cdots, N; j, j+1, \cdots, N\}$. Also, in the leading term of (5.5) is now $\{1, 2, \cdots, j-1, j; 0, 1, \cdots, j-1\}$. Thus in the region Σ_j, we find

$$A \approx -i\mathrm{Im}k_j e^{-2i(\varphi_j + \delta_j^{(+)})} \mathrm{sech}2(\theta_j + \gamma_j^{(+)}), \quad \tau \to +\infty, \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\bar{k}_j - k_j} \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} \frac{(\bar{k}_j - \bar{k}_l)^2}{(\bar{k}_j - k_l)^2} = e^{2(\gamma_j^{(+)} + i\delta_j^{(+)})}. \tag{5.9}$$

From (5.6) to (5.9), one may find that the N-solitons with different velocity split as $\tau \to -\infty$, after mutual collisions, split again as $\tau \to +\infty$. In this solitary wave collisions, the form and velocity of each solitary wave do not change, only the center and phase change from $\delta_j^{(-)}, \gamma_j^{(-)}$ to $\delta_j^{(+)}, \gamma_j^{(+)}$ for the j-th soliton.

Similar considerations apply to $\psi_{21}(0)$ and $\psi_{22}(0)$, we find

$$\psi_{21}(0) \approx \begin{cases} e^{-i2(\varphi_j + \varrho_j^{(-)})} \sin\varpi_j \mathrm{sech}2(\theta_j + \gamma_j^{(-)}), & \tau \to -\infty, \\ e^{-i2(\varphi_j + \varrho_j^{(+)})} \sin\varpi_j \mathrm{sech}2(\theta_j + \gamma_j^{(+)}), & \tau \to +\infty, \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

where $\varrho_j^{(\pm)} = \delta_j^{(\pm)} + \rho_j^{(\pm)} + \frac{\varpi_j}{2}, \varpi_j = \arg k_j, \rho_j^{(-)} = \sum\limits_{l=j+1}^{N} \varpi_l, \rho_j^{(+)} = \sum\limits_{l=1}^{j-1} \varpi_l$, and

$$\psi_{22}(0) \approx \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-i2(\varphi_j + \tilde{\varrho}_j^{(-)})} e^{2(\theta_j + \mu_j^{(-)})} \mathrm{sech}2(\theta_j + \gamma_j^{(-)}), & \tau \to -\infty, \\ 1 - e^{-i2(\varphi_j + \tilde{\varrho}_j^{(+)})} e^{2(\theta_j + \mu_j^{(+)})} \mathrm{sech}2(\theta_j + \gamma_j^{(+)}), & \tau \to +\infty, \end{cases} \tag{5.11}$$

with $\varrho_j^{(\pm)} = \delta_j^{(\pm)} + \nu_j^{(\pm)}$ and $\mu_j^{(\pm)}, \nu_j^{(\pm)}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\bar{k}_j} \prod_{l=j+1}^{N} \frac{\bar{k}_j - \bar{k}_l}{\bar{k}_j - k_l} \prod_{l=j+1}^{N} \frac{k_j(k_j - \bar{k}_l)(\bar{k}_j - \bar{k}_l)}{\bar{k}_j(\bar{k}_j - k_l)(k_j - k_l)} &= e^{2(\mu_j^{(-)} + i\nu_j^{(-)})}, \\ \frac{1}{2\bar{k}_j} \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} \frac{\bar{k}_j - \bar{k}_l}{\bar{k}_j - k_l} \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} \frac{k_j(k_j - \bar{k}_l)(\bar{k}_j - \bar{k}_l)}{\bar{k}_j(\bar{k}_j - k_l)(k_j - k_l)} &= e^{2(\mu_j^{(+)} + i\nu_j^{(+)})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the asymptotic behavior of the solution B can be characterized by (4.5) and (5.10), (5.11), and the solitary wave collisions can be discussed similarly.

Acknowledgments

Project 11001250 and 10871182 were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

References

[1] Pedlosky J 1970 Finite-amplitude baroclinic waves *J. Atmos. Sci.* **27** 15-30

[2] Pedlosky J 1972 Finite amplitude baroclinic wave packets *J. Atmos. Sci.* **29** 680-6

[3] Moroz I M 1981 Slowly modulated baroclinic waves in a three-layer model *J. Atmos. Sci.* **38** 600-8

[4] Moroz I M and Brindley J 1981 Evolution of baroclinic wave packets in a flow with continuous shear and stratification *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **377** 397-404

[5] Dodd R K, Eilbck J C, Gibbon J D and Morris H C 1982 *Solitons and Nonlinear Wave Equations* (New York Academic)

[6] Gibbon J D, James I N and Moroz I 1979 An example of soliton behavior in a rotating baroclinic fluid *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **367** 219-37

[7] Gibbon J D and McGuiness M J 1981 Amplitude equations at the critical points of unstable dispersive physical systems *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **337** 185-219

[8] Kamchatnov A M and Pavlov M V 1995 Periodic solutions and Whitham equations for the AB system *J. Phys. A: Math, Gen.* **28** 3279-88

[9] Tan B and Boyd J P, 2002 Envelope solitary waves and periodic waves in the AB equationss *Stud. Appl. Math.* **109** 67-87

[10] Guo R and Tian B 2012 Integrability aspects and soliton solutions for an inhomogeneous nonlinear system with symbolic computation *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat* **17** 3189-203

[11] Zakharov V E and Manakov S V 1985 The construction of multidimensional nonlinear integrable systems and their solutions *Func. Anal. Appl.* **19** 89-101

[12] Bogdanov L V and Manakov S V 1988 The nonlocal $\bar{\partial}$-problem and (2+1)-dimensional soliton equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** L537-44

[13] Beals R and Coifman R R 1989 Linear spectral problems, non-linear equations and the $\bar{\partial}$-method, *Inverse Problems* **5** 87-130

[14] Zakharov V E, 1990 *On the Dressing Method*, in *Inverse Problems in Action* (ed. Sabatier P S, Springer-Verlag, Berlin) 602-23

[15] Santini P M 2003 *Transformations and reductions of integrable nonlinear equations and the $\bar{\partial}$-problem* Geometry And Integrability, Ed.Lionel Mason, Yavuz Nutku, (Cambridge University Press)

[16] Konopelchenko B G 1993 *Solitons in Multidimensions* (World Scientific, Singapore)

[17] Doktorov E V and Lebel S B 2007 *A Dressing Method in Mathematical Physics* Springer

[18] Zhu J Y and Geng X G 2012 A hierarchy of coupled evolution equations with self-consistent sources and the dressing method, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** 035204

[19] N. N. Huang, *Theory of Solitions and Method of Perturbations*, (Shanghai Scientific and Technological Education Publishing House, SHANGHAI,1996) (In Chinese).